

DEK KETCHUM, SB #48109  
JAY M. GOLDMAN, SB #168141  
LAW OFFICES OF DEK KETCHUM  
900 Veterans Boulevard, Suite 600  
Redwood City, Calif. 94063  
Telephone: (650) 368-2588  
Facsimile: (650) 369-7183  
Dekket@pacbell.net  
JGoldman1@aol.com

Attorneys for Defendant  
Farr Financial, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

HOWARD B. HIGGINS, an individual, )  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
FARR FINANCIAL, INC., a California )  
Corporation, ZENITH INVESTMENT )  
GROUP LLC, a California Limited )  
Liability Company, AMAECHI )  
GEORGE OZOR, an individual, and )  
JOHN/JANE DOES I-X, individuals or )  
entities whose identities are unknown, )  
Defendants. )

No. C 07-02200 JSW

**STIPULATION BY PLAINTIFF  
AND DEFENDANT FARR  
FINANCIAL, INC. TO EXTEND  
TIME BY FOUR DAYS FOR  
ALL DEFENDANTS TO  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S  
THIRD AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. Jeffery S. White  
Ct. Rm.: 2, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Trial: None Set

Defendant Farr Financial, Inc. ("Farr") and Plaintiff Howard B. Higgins ("Higgins"), hereby stipulate to provide for Farr to have four (4) additional days, from Thursday August 20, 2009 through Monday, August 24, 2009, to file a response to Higgins' Third Amended Complaint.

Good cause exists to support this stipulation and the request that this Court grant the accompanying proposed order. Due to a trial and vacation schedules, counsel for Farr require an additional four (4) calendar days (which is only two (2) additional

1 court days) to prepare and file a response to the Third Amended Complaint.


2 There has only been one prior request for an extension of time to respond to the  
3 Third Amended Complaint, which was for a ten day extension of time. Moreover, the  
4 parties do not know of any other deadlines or events set in this case, and therefore  
5 conclude that the requested four (4) day extension will not have any other effect on the  
6 schedule of this case.

7 This stipulation and proposed order, if it is granted, avoids the necessity for Farr  
8 to file, and for this Court to adjudicate, an administrative motion under Local Rule 7-11  
9 in this regard. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Court grant their  
10 proposed order.

11  
12 IT IS SO STIPULATED:


13  
14 Dated: August 17, 2009

LAW OFFICES OF DEK KETCHUM

15  
16 By:   
17 JAY M. GOLDMAN  
18 Attorneys for Defendant Farr Financial, Inc.

19 Dated: August 17, 2009

NORMAN G. REECE, P.C.


20 By:   
21 NORMAN G. REECE, JR.  
22 Attorney for Plaintiff Howard B. Higgins  
23 (Pro Hac Vice)  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

Good cause appearing, **IT IS SO ORDERED** that the stipulation and proposed order is **GRANTED**. Farr shall have through August 24, 2009, to file a response to the Third Amended Complaint in this matter.

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 19, 2009

  
HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE  
United States District Judge